Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/855,483	TOMASZEWSKI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
		2187
All Participants:	Status of Application:	.
(1) <u>Donald Sparks</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Paul Mitchel</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 23 June 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	•
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
See attachment.		·
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		•
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER	AL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writteecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
		•
A While	·	
DONALD SPARKS		
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/A	Applicant's Representative Si	anature – if appropriate)

On or about May 20, 2004, Mr. Paul Mitchell initiated a telephone interview to discuss Application No. 09/855,483. During the interview, Mr. Mitchell indicated that he had made an unsuccessful attempt to contact the examiner of the application to discuss the office action mailed March 24, 2004. Mr. Mitchell indicated that the office action dated March 24, 2004 appeared to be incomplete since the office action had failed to address claims 32-47 that were newly presented in the amendment that accompanied the Request for Continued Examination (RCE) dated January 27, 2004. Mr. Sparks (Supervisor to the examiner of record) indicated that he would order the application and review the contents. Mr. Sparks indicated to Mr. Mitchell that it would take some time to receive the application but indicated that as soon as the application became available for review, he would review the application and contact him at that time. On June 23, 2004, Mr. Sparks contacted Mr. Mitchell and indicated that the he had reviewed that application and agreed that claims 32-47 had not been addressed by the examiner in the last office letter. Mr. Sparks informed Mr. Mitchell that the proper corrective action for such an error on the part of the office was to withdraw the previous office action dated March 24, 2004 and issue a new office action addressing all of the pending claims in the application with a period for reply restarted. Mr. Sparks indicated to Mr. Mitchell that a courtesy copy of the instant interview summary would be faxed to the applicants at Fax no. (972) 862-7438.

DONALD SPARKS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER